Foliar Fertilization of Soybeans

Keith Kelling

Department of Soil Science University of Wisconsin



Application opportunities:

Early season N,P,K
 (V4-V6)

2. Midseason micronutrients (B,Mn)

3. Podfill N,P,K,S (R2-R7)



Field trial success:

Iowa early season studies

- 1. Increases at 7 of 48 sites; decreases at 2 of 48 sites; average + 0.80 bu/a
- 2. Increases at 3 of 27 sites; decreases at 3 of 27 sites; average + 0.27 bu/a
- 3. No yield increases or decreases at 18 sites; average + 0.71 bu/a

Responses more likely with dry spring/early summer, where available P/K low, plant growth poor.

Application costs exceeded benefits



Podfill trial results:

Garcia and Hanway (1976)

Exp
$$1 = yield + 1.2 to 7.0 bu/a$$

average = $+3.62 bu/a$

Exp
$$2 = yield -2.5 to +15.5 bu/a$$

average = $+2.74 bu/a$

Exp
$$3 = yield +22.2 to +23.4 bu/a$$

Exp
$$4 = yield -3.6 to +8.6 bu/a$$

average = $+7.26 bu/a$

Exp
$$5 = yield -0.5 to +5.7 bu/a$$

average = $+6.40 bu/a$

Exp
$$6$$
 = yield -5.8 to +6.6 bu/a average = -5.16 bu/a



Other studies:

Florida -3.1 bu/a

Haryland +8.89 bu/a

Minnesota +0.65 bu/a

Wisconsin +1.41 bu/a

Georgia -4.43 bu/a

TVA various loc. -5.51 bu/a



Micronutrient studies mixed

 B increased pods/branch sandy, low OM soils worse

 Mn increased yield on high OM, high pH soils

Premium mixes promoted



Bottom line:

Responses to foliar NPKS
 early -- unlikely
 podfill -- occasionally

Responses to foliar micronutrients

Soil specific
Nutrient specific
Multiple applications often
better

